

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Tetrahedron

Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 3102–3107

Organocatalytic direct aldol and nitroaldol reactions between azetidine-2,3-diones and ketones or nitromethane

Benito Alcaide,^{a,*} Pedro Almendros^{b,*} and Amparo Luna^a

a
Departamento de Química Orgánica I, Facultad de Química, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040-Madrid, Spain
Polo Madrid, Spain blactituto de Química Orgánica General, CSIC, Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain Instituto de Química Orgánica General, CSIC, Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006-Madrid, Spain

> Received 19 December 2006; revised 30 January 2007; accepted 7 February 2007 Available online 13 February 2007

Abstract—The reactions of enantiopure azetidine-2,3-diones with unmodified ketones or nitromethane were catalyzed by proline and N-methylephedrine, respectively, to give the corresponding 3-functionalized 3-hydroxy-b-lactams with good yields and total diastereoselectivities. It was observed that the use of both enantiomers of proline or of N-methylephedrine does result in identical levels of stereocontrol in the aldol and nitroaldol reactions.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Organocatalysis has received much interest in organic chemistry because of the obvious advantages over its metal-medi-ated counterpart.^{[1](#page-4-0)} An organocatalytic molecule that has been studied extensively is proline, which promotes a range of transformations such as the asymmetric direct aldol reaction between carbonyls and unmodified ketones.^{[2,3](#page-4-0)} A major limitation of this process has been the rather narrow substrate scope, involving the majority of examples reported to date simple achiral carbonyls. Thus, matching and mismatching effects remain almost unexplored in the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction.^{[4](#page-4-0)} On the other hand, 3-substituted 3 -hydroxy-b-lactams are important substrates both for studies of biological activity[5](#page-5-0) as well as convenient precursors for β -amino- α -hydroxy acids (isoserines),^{[6](#page-5-0)} therefore, being the development of practical methods for their stereocontrolled preparation of interest. Continuing with our work on the asymmetric synthesis of nitrogenated compounds of biological interest, $\frac{7}{1}$ $\frac{7}{1}$ $\frac{7}{1}$ in this contribution we report the organocatalytic direct aldol and nitroaldol reactions of enantiopure azetidine-2,3-diones, which resulted in 3-functionalized 3-hydroxy-b-lactams.

2. Results and discussion

Starting materials, enantiopure azetidine-2,3-diones 1a–c, were efficiently prepared from aromatic or aliphatic (R) -

2,3-O-isopropylideneglyceraldehyde-derived imines, via Staudinger reaction with acetoxyacetyl chloride in the presence of Et₃N, followed by sequential transesterification and Swern oxidation, as we previously reported.^{[8](#page-5-0)} Ketones 1 are a-amino carbonyl compounds, most of which have been well-known to be both chemically and configurationally labile because of the rather acidic proton positioned α to the carbonyl group.^{[9](#page-5-0)} In contrast to organocatalytic direct aldolizations with aldehydes as acceptors, however, those related with ketones as acceptors have been rarely reported and thus are even more challenging.[10](#page-5-0)

The choice of the catalyst is critical for stability of the amino carbonyl moiety because of their sensitivity to racemization. Because proline has emerged as a particularly mild and efficient catalyst of the aldol reaction mimicking the type I aldolase mechanism, 3 we decided to explore the prolinecatalyzed direct aldol reaction between azetidine-2,3-diones 1 and ketones. Given chiral starting materials, we investigated whether the chiral amino acid catalyst could control the diastereoselectivity in the addition, either by enhancing or overcoming the intrinsic substrate-controlled preference. For our first example we chose azetidine-2,3-dione (+)-1a as a model system for the aldol reaction with acetone and optimized the reaction conditions in terms of yield and diastereomeric ratio ([Scheme 1](#page-1-0), [Table 1\)](#page-1-0). Taken into account the facial preference of ketones 1 when reacting with stabi-lized organometallics,^{[8](#page-5-0)} D-proline was initially selected because presumably azetidine-2,3-diones 1 and D-proline are a matched pair for diastereoselectivity induction. Indeed, the desired adduct $(+)$ -2a with a quaternary stereogenic center was formed as single isomer when the reaction was catalyzed $(10 \text{ mol } \%)$ by p-proline, and was conducted in

^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +34 913 944 314; fax: +34 913 944 103 (B.A.); tel.: +34 915 618 806; fax: +34 915 644 853 (P.A.); e-mail addresses: alcaideb@quim.ucm.es; iqoa392@iqog.csic.es

^{0040–4020/\$ -} see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2007.02.024

DMSO (yield 65%, Table 1, entry 1), DMF (yield 60%, Table 1, entry 2), or acetone (yield 79%, Table 1, entry 3). Acetone was elected as the solvent for all further reactions since a higher yield was obtained for aldol $(+)$ -2a. The effect of the amount of the organocatalyst on the conversion rate as well as on the product ratio was studied. Slightly lower yields and prolonged reaction times were observed when the amount of catalyst was decreased (5 mol %, Table 1, entry 4). It was found that the efficiency of the process did not increase on increasing the amount of catalyst (20 mol %, Table 1, entry 5).

Scheme 1. Aldol reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 with acetone.

Table 1. Reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 with acetone under modified proline-catalyzed aldol reaction conditions^a

Entry	Ketone	R	Solvent	Catalyst	Product Yield ^b	(%)
$\mathbf{1}$	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	DMSO	_D -Proline	$(+) - 2a$	65
2	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	DMF	D-Proline	$(+) - 2a$	60
3	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	Acetone	D-Proline	$(+) - 2a$	79
$\overline{4}$	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	Acetone	D-Proline ^c	$(+) - 2a$	70
5	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	Acetone	D-Proline ^a	$(+) - 2a$	79
6	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	Acetone	L-Proline	$(+) - 2a$	75
7	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	Acetone	D.L-Proline	$(+) - 2a$	76
8	$(-)$ -1b	Allyl	Acetone	D-Proline	$(-) - 2b$	44
9	$(-)$ -1b	Allyl	Acetone	L-Proline	$(-) - 2b$	48
10	$(-)$ -1c	Benzyl	Acetone	D-Proline	$(-) - 2c$	66
11	$(-) - 1c$	Benzyl	Acetone	L-Proline	$(-) - 2c$	62

^a Proline of 10 mol % was used except otherwise stated. PMP=4- $MeOC₄₁₄$.

Yield of pure, isolated product with correct analytical and spectral data. Proline of 5 mol $\%$ was used. It was necessary to run the experiment for

48 h for complete disappearance of the starting α -oxo- β -lactam. d Proline of 20 mol % was used.

To afford a direct comparison of the stereoselectivity of the aldol process by variation of the chirality of proline, the same reaction was also evaluated under the same conditions but using L-proline. The addition promoted by L-proline proceeded to complete conversion, giving the aldol (+)-2a as sole product (Table 1, entry 6). These proline-catalyzed aldol reactions are proceeding with total asymmetric induction by the substrate, with the chirality of the catalyst having no effect on the overall stereoselectivity. Thereupon, racemic proline was also examined. Aldol reaction of azetidine-2,3-dione (+)-1a using D,L-proline also provides adduct (+)-2a as single isomer (Table 1, entry 7). Similar results were obtained in the proline (L- or D-) promoted aldol reaction between acetone and different azetidine-2,3-diones 1 (Table 1, entries 8–11). The use of the achiral catalyst N-benzylglycine in the aldol reaction of azetidine-2,3 diones was not effective because ketones 1 were almost unaffected.[11](#page-5-0) Slower reactions and decreased chemical yields of the aldol adducts were noted by using glycine as the catalyst.[12](#page-5-0) Aldol reactions of ketones 1 catalyzed by simple achiral bases such as triethylamine and Hünig's base were too sluggish and did not go to completion, observing instead the epimerization of the starting azetidine-2,3-dione.^{[13](#page-5-0)} The use of the system pyrrolidine/acetic acid (1:1) was able to catalyze the aldol reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 with acetone but not as efficiently as proline.^{[14](#page-5-0)} The generality of this protocol with L- or D-proline was next established through the stereoselective addition of cyclic ketones. We were also able to show that the aldol reaction of ketone acceptors 1 with cyclobutanone or cyclopentanone proceeds with reasonable *antilsyn* ratios under substrate chirality control to afford adducts 3 and 4 (Scheme 2, Table 2).

Although great efforts have been devoted to implement the direct catalytic asymmetric aldol reaction, no significant progress has been achieved until recently for the analogous reaction involving nitroalkane donors (Henry reaction), 15 despite it may provide efficient access to valuable functionalized structural motifs such as α -hydroxy carboxylic acids

Scheme 2. Aldol reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 with cyclic ketones.

Table 2. Reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 with cyclic ketones under proline catalysis^a

Entry	Ketone	R	n	Proline	Products	dr°	Yield $^{\rm c}$ (%)	
	$(+)$ -1a	PMP		D	$(+)$ -3a/ $(+)$ -4a	80:20	50/12	
2	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	0		$(+)$ -3a/ $(+)$ -4a	85:15	56/10	
	$(-)$ -1b	Allyl		D	$(-) - 3b/(+) - 4b$	75:25	37/12	
4	$(-)$ -1b	Allyl		ı.	$(-) - 3b/(+) - 4b$	80:20	40/10	
	$(+)$ -1a	PMP		D	$(+) -3c/(+) -4c$	80:20	49/12	
6	$(+)$ -1a	PMP			$(+)-3c/(+)-4c$	85:15	55/10	
	$(-)$ -1c	Benzyl		D	$(-)$ -3d/4d	95:5	52/0	
8	$(-)$ -1c	Benzyl			$(-)$ -3d/4d	95:5	56/0	

^a Proline of 10 mol %was used. PMP=4-MeOC₆H₄. b The ratio was determined by integration of well-resolved signals in the ¹ ^b The ratio was determined by integration of well-resolved signals in the 'H NMR spectra (300 MHz) of the crude reaction mixtures before purification.
^c Yield of isolated product with correct analytical and spectral da

and 1,2-amino alcohols.^{[16](#page-5-0)} With the absence of match or mismatch effect observed in the proline-catalyzed direct aldol reaction of α -oxo- β -lactams 1 with ketone donors,^{[17](#page-5-0)} we went on to check the inherent facial selectivity of carbonyls 1 with nitromethane under organocatalysis (Scheme 3, Table 3). Unfortunately, proline was unable to promote this Henry reaction (Table 3, entry 1). However, we identified N-methylephedrine as an effective organocatalyst for the addition of nitromethane to azetidine-2,3-diones 1. Under the optimal reaction conditions, $(+)$ -*N*-methylephedrine $[(+)$ -NME] and $(-)$ -N-methylephedrine $[(-)$ -NME] were found to be suitable catalysts for nitroaldol reaction giving the corresponding Henry adducts 5 in good yields.[18](#page-5-0) As expected, full stereocontrol was observed in all cases, and chirality control of the substrate versus catalyst was again observed.

Scheme 3. Nitroaldol reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 with nitromethane.

Table 3. Organocatalytic Henry reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 with nitromethane

Entry	Ketone	R	Catalyst	Product	Yield \mathfrak{b} (%)
1	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	L-Proline	$(+)$ -5a	c
2	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	$(+)$ -NME	$(+)$ -5a	68
3	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	$(-)$ -NME	$(+)$ -5a	70
4	$(+)$ -1a	PMP	(\pm) -NME	$(+)$ -5a	70
5	$(-)$ -1b	Allyl	$(+)$ -NME	$(-)$ -5b	47
6	$(-)$ -1b	Allyl	$(-)$ -NME	$(-)$ -5b	50
7	$(-)$ -1c	Benzyl	$(+)$ -NME	$(+) - 5c$	62
8	$(-)$ -1c	Benzyl	$(-)$ -NME	$(+) - 5c$	65

^a N-Methylephedrine of 35 mol % was used except otherwise stated.
PMP=4-MeOC₆H₄.

^b Yield of pure, isolated product with correct analytical and spectral data. ^c Unreacted starting material together with its C4 epimer was recovered after 2 days of reaction at rt.

The stereochemistry at the C3-heterosubstituted quaternary center for compounds 2–5 was assigned by qualitative homonuclear NOE difference spectra. On azetidine-2,3-diones 1, the full stereocontrol was achieved due to the presence of a bulky chiral group at C4, which was able to control the stereochemistry of the new C3-substituted C3-hydroxy quaternary center. One face of the carbonyl group is blocked preferentially, thus the nucleophilic species being delivered to the less hindered face, and as a consequence the diastereoselectivity was complete in all cases (Scheme 4). These organocatalytic aldol and nitroaldol reactions are proceeding with total asymmetric induction by ketones $1,^{19}$ $1,^{19}$ $1,^{19}$ with the chirality of the catalyst (proline or N-methylephedrine) having no effect on the overall stereoselectivity.

In conclusion, using organocatalytic systems we have successfully accomplished the direct aldol and nitroaldol reactions between enantiopure azetidine-2,3-diones and ketones or nitromethane. These proline- or N-methylephedrine-catalyzed aldol or Henry reactions are proceeding with total asymmetric induction by the substrate, with the

Scheme 4. Model to explain the observed substrate-controlled stereochemistry by delivery of the nucleophile to the less hindered face of the ketone. NuH=acetone, cyclopentanone, and nitromethane. Organocatalyst=D-proline, L -proline, $(+)$ -NME, $(-)$ -NME.

chirality of the catalyst having no effect on the overall stereoselectivity.

3. Experimental

3.1. General methods

Melting points were taken using a Gallenkamp apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 781 spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance-300, Varian VRX-300S, or Bruker AC-200. NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ solutions, except otherwise stated. Chemical shifts are given in parts per million relative to TMS (${}^{1}H$, 0.0 ppm) or CDCl₃ $(13C, 76.9$ ppm). Mass spectra were recorded on a Hewlett–Packard 5989A spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed in the UCM Microanalysis Service (Facultad de Farmacia, UCM, Madrid). Optical rotations were measured using a Perkin–Elmer 241 polarimeter. Specific rotation $[\alpha]_D$ is given in degree per decimeter at 25° C, and the concentration (c) is expressed in gram per 100 mL. All commercially available compounds were used without further purification. THF was distilled from Na–benzophenone. Benzene, dichloromethane, and triethylamine were distilled from CaH2. Flame-dried glassware and standard Schlenk techniques were used for moisture sensitive reactions. Flash chromatography was performed using Merck silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Identification of products was made by TLC (Kieselgel 60F₂₅₄). UV light (λ =254 nm), and a vanillin solution in sulfuric acid and 95% EtOH (1 g vanillin, 5 mL $H₂SO₄$, 150 mL EtOH) were used to develop the plates.

3.2. General procedure for the synthesis of aldols 2–4. Proline-catalyzed reaction between unmodified ketones and azetidine-2,3-diones 1

L-Proline or D-proline (10.4 mg, 0.09 mmol) was added to a well stirred solution of the appropriate ketone acceptor 1 (0.90 mmol) in the corresponding ketone donor (9 mL) at room temperature. After disappearance of the starting material (TLC), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (5 mL) was added before being extracted with ethyl acetate $(3\times10 \text{ mL})$. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried (MgSO4), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the residue eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate mixtures gave analytically pure aldols 2–4.

3.2.1. Aldol $(+)$ -2a. From 35 mg (0.12 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione (+)-1a and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluant gave compound (+)-2a (33 mg, 79%) as a colorless solid. Mp: 103–104 °C (hexanes/ethyl acetate). $[\alpha]_D$ +97.5 (c 1.0, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR: δ 7.50 and 6.86 (d, J=9.0 Hz, each 2H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.23 and 3.87 (dd, $J=8.8$, 6.6 Hz, each 1H), 4.19 (d, $J=$ 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.18 and 2.79 (d, $J=16.8$ Hz, each 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.41 and 1.34 (s, each 3H). 13C NMR: d 207.5, 166.8, 156.7, 130.7, 120.0, 114.1, 109.8, 82.3, 76.1, 66.4, 65.8, 55.4, 46.4, 31.7, 26.3, 25.1. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 3375, 1751, 1718. MS (ES), m/z : 350 (M⁺+1, 100), 349 (M⁺, 11). Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₃NO₆: C, 61.88; H, 6.64; N, 4.01. Found: C, 62.02; H, 6.59; N, 4.04.

3.2.2. Aldol $(-)$ -2b. From 34 mg (0.15 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione $(-)$ -1b and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:2) as eluant gave compound (-)-2b (20 mg, 48%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D$ -25.5 (c 0.7, tetrahydrofuran). ¹H NMR: δ 5.75 (m, 1H), 5.24 (m, 2H), 4.44 (m, 1H), 4.24 (ddt, $J=15.4$, 4.9, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.18 (dd, $J=9.0$, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (dd, $J=9.0$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.67 (m, 1H), 3.13 and 2.68 (d, $J=16.7$ Hz, each 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.44 and 1.35 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 207.4, 169.0, 131.4, 119.1, 109.9, 82.9, 75.2, 66.4, 64.8, 46.4, 43.4, 31.6, 26.4, 24.8. IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3370, 1750, 1720. MS (ES), m/z: 284 (M⁺+1, 100), 283 (M⁺, 9). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{21}NO_5$: C, 59.35; H, 7.47; N, 4.94. Found: C, 59.47; H, 7.42; N, 4.91.

3.2.3. Aldol $(-)$ -2c. From 31 mg (0.11 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione $(-)$ -1c and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluant gave compound $(-)$ -2c (24 mg, 66%) as a colorless solid. Mp: 121–122 °C (hexanes/ethyl acetate). $[\alpha]_D$ -13.7 (c 1.8, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR: δ 7.29 (m, 5H), 4.88 and 4.13 (d, J=14.7 Hz, each 1H), 4.43 (m, 1H), 4.15 (ddt, J=9.0, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.76 (dd, $J=9.0, 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.43 (d, $J=5.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.10 and 2.56 (d, $J=16.7$ Hz, each 1H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.41 and 1.34 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 207.4, 169.2, 135.4, 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 109.8, 82.9, 75.5, 66.4, 64.7, 46.0, 44.7, 31.6, 26.4, 24.9. IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3356, 1753, 1736. MS (ES), m/z: 234 (M⁺+1, 100), 233 (M⁺, 10). Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{23}NO_5$: C, 64.85; H, 6.95; N, 4.20. Found: C, 64.72; H, 6.90; N, 4.16.

3.2.4. Preparation of aldols $(+)$ -3a and $(+)$ -4a. From 35 mg (0.12 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione (+)-1a and after column chromatography eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate $(1:1)$, 24 mg (56%) of the less polar compound $(+)$ -3a and 5 mg (10%) of the more polar compound $(+)$ -4a were obtained.

3.2.4.1. Aldol (+)-3a. Colorless solid. Mp: 136–137 °C (hexanes/ethyl acetate). $[\alpha]_D + 76.8$ (c 0.7, tetrahydrofuran). ¹H NMR: δ 7.45 and 6.85 (d, J=9.0 Hz, each 2H), 4.45 (m, 1H), 4.28 (d, $J=5.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.20 and 3.94 (dd, $J=9.0$, 6.6 Hz, each 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.68 (dd, $J=9.8$, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.12 (t, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (m, 2H), 1.40 and 1.35 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 209.4, 161.2, 156.8, 130.5, 120.0, 114.2, 109.9, 83.3, 75.7, 66.8, 64.8, 63.5, 55.4, 46.9, 26.2, 25.3, 12.5. IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3369, 1734, 1718. MS (ES), m/z: 362 (M⁺+1, 100), 361 (M⁺, 22). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{23}NO_6$: C, 63.15; H, 6.41; N, 3.88. Found: C, 63.28; H, 6.37; N, 3.85.

3.2.4.2. Aldol (+)-4a. Colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D$ +55.6 (c 0.5, tetrahydrofuran). ¹H NMR: δ 7.47 and 6.84 (d, J=9.0 Hz, each 2H), 4.45 (m, 1H), 4.27 (d, $J=5.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.23 (dd, $J=9.0, 6.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.80 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.07 (m, 2H), 2.26 (m, 2H), 1.42 and 1.35 (s, each 3H). IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3365, 1737, 1719. MS (ES), m/z : 362 (M⁺+1, 100), 361 (M⁺, 17). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₃NO₆: C, 63.15; H, 6.41; N, 3.88. Found: C, 63.26; H, 6.45; N, 3.85.

3.2.5. Preparation of aldols $(-)$ -3b and $(+)$ -4b. From 39 mg (0.17 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione $(-)$ -1b and after column chromatography eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate $(1:1)$, 19 mg (40%) of the less polar compound $(-)$ -3b and 5 mg (10%) of the more polar compound (+)-4b were obtained.

3.2.5.1. Aldol $(-)$ -3b. Colorless solid. Mp: 87–88 °C (hexanes/ethyl acetate). $[\alpha]_D$ –49.4 (c 0.8, CH_2Cl_2). ¹H NMR: d 5.72 (m, 1H), 5.24 (m, 2H), 4.98 (s, 1H), 4.35 (m, 1H), 4.20 (ddt, J=15.2, 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (dd, $J=9.0, 6.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.94 (dd, $J=9.0, 5.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.68 (d, $J=6.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.66 (m, 1H), 3.55 (dd, $J=9.0$, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (m, 2H), 2.40 and 2.24 (m, each 1H), 1.44 and 1.34 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 208.4, 169.8, 131.1, 119.3, 114.2, 109.9, 83.3, 75.4, 66.2, 64.6, 63.6, 46.9, 43.5, 26.4, 24.9, 12.4. IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3350, 1734, 1710. MS (ES), m/z: 296 (M⁺+1, 100), 295 (M⁺, 7). Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{21}NO₅: C, 61.00; H, 7.17; N, 4.74. Found: C, 61.14;$ H, 7.12; N, 4.71.

3.2.5.2. Aldol (+)-4b. Colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D$ +33.1 (c 0.6, tetrahydrofuran). ¹H NMR: δ 5.78 (m, 1H), 5.26 (m, 2H), 4.29 (m, 3H), 3.81 (dd, $J=9.0$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (m, 3H), 3.07 (m, 2H), 2.24 (m, 2H), 1.63 (s, 1H), 1.45 and 1.35 (s, each 3H). IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3356, 1735, 1714. MS (ES), m/z: 296 (M⁺+1, 100), 295 (M⁺, 9). Anal. Calcd for $C_{15}H_{21}NO_5$: C, 61.00; H, 7.17; N, 4.74. Found: C, 61.16; H, 7.21; N, 4.70.

3.2.6. Preparation of aldols $(+)$ -3c and $(+)$ -4c. From 40 mg (0.14 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione (+)-1a and after column chromatography eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate $(1:1)$, 27 mg $(55%)$ of the less polar compound $(+)$ -3c and 5 mg (10%) of the more polar compound $(+)$ -4c were obtained.

3.2.6.1. Aldol (+)-3c. Pale yellow oil. $[\alpha]_D$ +7.8 (c 0.5, tetrahydrofuran). ¹H NMR: δ 7.49 and 6.86 (d, J=9.0 Hz, each 2H), 4.83 (br s, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 4.33 (d, $J=$ 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.24 and 3.89 (dd, $J=9.0$, 6.6 Hz, each 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.16 (m, 7H), 1.41 and 1.34 (s, each 3H). 13C NMR: δ 219.9, 167.1, 156.7, 130.7, 120.0, 114.2, 109.9, 85.1, 76.0, 66.5, 64.8, 55.5, 51.6, 39.1, 26.4, 25.2, 24.6, 20.7. IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3365, 1735, 1715. MS (ES), m/z: 376 (M⁺+1, 100), 375 (M⁺, 14). Anal. Calcd for C20H25NO6: C, 63.99; H, 6.71; N, 3.73. Found: C, 64.12; H, 6.67; N, 3.70.

3.2.6.2. Aldol (+)-4c. Pale yellow oil. $[\alpha]_D$ +66.7 (c 0.5, tetrahydrofuran). ¹H NMR: δ 7.56 and 6.86 (d, J=9.0 Hz, each 2H), 4.49 (m, 1H), 4.23 (m, 1H), 4.12 (d, $J=7.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.65 (dd, J=8.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (m, 1H), 2.11 (m, 6H), 1.45 and 1.33 (s, each 3H). IR (CHCl₃,

cm⁻¹): ν 3366, 1737, 1718. MS (ES), m/z : 376 (M⁺+1, 100), 375 (M^+ , 12). Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{25}NO_6$: C, 63.99; H, 6.71; N, 3.73. Found: C, 64.14; H, 6.66; N, 3.77.

3.2.7. Aldol $(-)$ -3d. From 36 mg (0.13 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione $(-)$ -1c and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluant gave compound $(-)$ -3d (26 mg, 56%) as a colorless oil. [α]_D -59.6 (*c* 1.2, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR: δ 7.29 (m, 5H), 4.90 and 4.11 (d, J= 14.7 Hz, each 1H), 4.71 (br s, 1H), 4.42 (m, 1H), 4.14 (dd, $J=9.0, 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.80 (dd, $J=9.0, 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.61 (d, $J=5.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.99 (m, 7H), 1.45 and 1.35 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 220.0, 164.9, 135.4, 128.7, 128.5, 127.8, 109.9, 85.5, 75.2, 66.4, 63.8, 51.3, 44.6, 39.0, 26.4, 24.8, 24.5, 20.7. IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): ν 3360, 1738, 1718. MS (ES), m/z: 360 (M⁺+1, 100), 359 (M⁺, 12). Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{25}NO_5$: C, 66.83; H, 7.01; N, 3.90. Found: C, 66.96; H, 7.06; N, 3.87.

3.3. General procedure for the synthesis of nitroaldols 5

N-Methylephedrine (8.4 mg, 0.046 mmol) was added to a well stirred solution of the appropriate ketone acceptor 1 (0.10 mmol) in nitromethane (9 mL) cooled at -25 °C. After disappearance of the starting material (TLC), saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate (2 mL) was added and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, before being extracted with ethyl acetate $(3\times10 \text{ mL})$. The organic extract was washed with brine, dried $(MgSO₄)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the residue eluting with hexanes/ethyl acetate mixtures gave analytically pure compounds 5. Spectroscopic and analytical data for some representative forms of 5 are as follows.

3.3.1. Nitroaldol $(+)$ -**5a.** From 30 mg (0.10 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione (+)-1a and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluant gave compound (+)-5a (25 mg, 70%) as a colorless oil. $\lceil \alpha \rceil_D$ +113.3 (c 1.4, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR: δ 7.46 and 6.87 (d, J= 9.0 Hz, each 2H), 5.18 (br s, 1H), 4.86 and 4.66 (d, $J=$ 13.0 Hz, each 1H), 4.68 (d, $J=4.6$ Hz, 1H), 4.49 (m, 1H), 4.20 and 3.89 (dd, $J=9.0$, 6.7 Hz, each 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 1.40 and 1.35 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 164.0, 157.2, 129.7, 120.4, 114.2, 110.2, 82.2, 77.7, 75.3, 66.1, 64.3, 55.4, 26.1, 25.3. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): ν 3352, 1757, 1560. MS (ES), m/z: 353 $(M^+ + 1, 100)$, 352 $(M^+, 9)$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{20}N_2O_7$: C, 54.54; H, 5.72; N, 7.95. Found: C, 54.42; H, 5.76; N, 7.81.

3.3.2. Nitroaldol (-)-5b. From 43 mg (0.19 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione $(-)$ -1b and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:2) as eluant gave compound (-)-5b (28 mg, 50%) as a colorless oil. $[\alpha]_D$ $-0.\overline{4}$ (c 1.0, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR: δ 5.75 (m, 1H), 5.29 (m, 2H), 4.83 and 4.54 (d, $J=13.3$ Hz, each 1H), 4.62 (br s, 1H), 4.47 (m, 1H), 4.33 (dd, J=15.3, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (dd, J=9.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, J=4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (dd, $J=9.2$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dd, $J=15.3$, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.48 and 1.37 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 166.5, 130.8, 120.1, 110.6, 83.1, 77.5, 73.8, 66.0, 63.6, 43.6, 26.2, 24.7. IR $(CHCl₃, cm⁻¹)$: ν 3354, 1752, 1564. MS (ES), m/z : 287 $(M^+ + 1, 100)$, 286 $(M^+, 5)$. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₈N₂O₆: C, 50.35; H, 6.34; N, 9.79. Found: C, 50.47; H, 6.29; N, 9.72.

3.3.3. Nitroaldol $(+)$ -5c. From 30 mg (0.11 mmol) of azetidine-2,3-dione $(-)$ -1c and after chromatography of the residue using hexanes/ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluant gave compound (+)-5c (24 mg, 65%) as a colorless oil. $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_D$ $+3.8$ (c 0.9, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR: δ 7.38 (m, 3H), 7.28 (m, 2H), 4.96 and 4.15 (d, $J=14.7$ Hz, each 1H), 4.82 and 4.43 (d, $J=13.4$ Hz, each 1H), 4.79 (br s, 1H), 4.44 (m, 1H), 4.16 (dd, $J=9.3$, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, $J=4.1$ Hz, 1H), 3.84 (dd, $J=9.3$, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.50 and 1.38 (s, each 3H). ¹³C NMR: δ 166.6, 134.5, 129.1, 128.3, 110.6, 83.0, 77.2, 74.1, 66.1, 63.4, 44.9, 26.2, 24.7. IR (CHCl₃, cm⁻¹): v 3352, 1750, 1562. MS (ES), m/z: 337 (M⁺+1, 100), 336 (M⁺, 5). Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀N₂O₆: C, 57.14; H, 5.99; N, 8.33. Found: C, 57.12; H, 5.95; N, 8.27.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the DGI-MEC (Projects BQU2003- 07793-C02-01 and CTQ2006-10292) and CAM-UCM (Grant GR45/05) for financial support.

References and notes

- 1. For recent selected reviews, see: (a) Koćovský, P., Malkov, A. V., Eds.; Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 243–502, issues 2 and 3: Organocatalysis in Organic Synthesis; (b) List, B. Chem. Commun. 2006, 819; (c) Asymmetric Organocatalysis: From Biomimetic Concepts to Applications in Asymmetric Synthesis; Berkessel, A., Gröger, H., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005; (d) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, D. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5138; (e) Johnson, J. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1326; (f) List, B., Bolm, C., Eds.; Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1007– 1249, issues 9 and 10: Organic Catalysis Issue; (g) Houk, K. N., List, B., Eds.; Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 487–631, issue 8: Asymmetric Organocatalysis; (h) Dalko, P. I.; Moisan, D. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 3726.
- 2. For general reviews on aldol reactions, see: (a) Schetter, B.; Mahrwald, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7506; (b) Modern Aldol Reactions; Mahrwald, R., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2004; (c) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; García, J. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 65; (d) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; García, J. M. Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 37; (e) Machajewski, T. D.; Wong, C.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1352.
- 3. For selected reviews on catalytic direct aldol reactions, see: (a) Notz, W.; Tanaka, F.; Barbas, C. F., III. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 580; (b) List, B. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 548; (c) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 858; (d) Jarvo, E. R.; Miller, S. J. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 2481; (e) List, B. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 5573; (f) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1595.
- 4. For matching and mismatching effects in the proline-catalyzed aldol reaction of enantiopure aldehydes, see: (a) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Luna, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 4818; (b) Calderón, F.; Doyagüez, E. G.; Fernández-Mayoralas, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6258; (c) Casas, J.; Engqvist, M.; Ibrahem, I.; Kaynak, B.; Córdova, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1343; (d) Pan, Q.; Zou, B.; Wang, Y.; Ma, D. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1009; (e) Chowdari, N. S.; Ramachary, D. B.; Barbas, C. F., III. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1685; (f) Izquierdo, I.; Plaza, M. T.; Robles, R.; Mota, A. J.; Franco, F. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2001, 12, 2749.
- 5. The 3-substituted 3-hydroxy-b-lactam moiety represents an efficient carboxylate mimic, see: (a) Unkefer, C. J.; London, R. E.; Durbin, R. D.; Uchytil, T. F.; Langston-Unkefer, P. J. J. Biol. Chem. 1987, 262, 4993; (b) Meek, T. D.; Villafranca, J. V. Biochemistry 1980, 19, 5513; (c) Sinden, S. L.; Durbin, R. D. Nature 1968, 219, 379; The 3-substituted 3-hydroxy-blactam moiety is present in several pharmacologically active monobactams such as sulphazecin and related products, see: (d) Imada, A.; Kitano, K.; Kintana, K.; Muroi, M.; Asai, M. Nature 1981, 289, 590; The 3-substituted 3-hydroxy-b-lactam moiety is present in enzyme inhibitors such as tabtoxin and its analogues. See: (e) Dolle, R. E.; Hughes, M. J.; Li, C.-S.; Kruse, L. I. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1448; (f) Greenlee, W. J.; Springer, J. P.; Patchett, A. A. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 165; (g) Baldwin, J. E.; Otsuka, M.; Wallace, P. M. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 3097; (h) Stewart, W. W. Nature 1971, 229, 174; Some 3-substituted 3-hydroxy- β -lactams showed promising activity in acyl CoA-cholesterol acyltransferase inhibition assays, see: (i) Benfatti, F.; Cardillo, G.; Gentilucci, L.; Perciaccante, R.; Tolomelli, A.; Catapano, A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9229.
- 6. Isoserines are key components of a large number of therapeutically important compounds. As an example, (2R,3S)-3 amino-2-hydroxy-5-methylhexanoic acid (norstatine) and (3R,4S)-4-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylheptanoic acid (statine) are residues for peptide inhibitors of enzymes, such as renin and HIV-1 protease, see: (a) Thaisrivongs, S.; Pals, D. T.; Kroll, L. T.; Turner, S. R.; Han, F.-S. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 976; (b) Huff, J. R. J. Med. Chem. 1991, 34, 2305. Moreover, phenylisoserine analogues are used to synthesize new taxoids; (c) Lucatelli, C.; Viton, F.; Gimbert, Y.; Greene, A. E. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 9468; (d) Ojima, I.; Wang, T.; Delaloge, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3663; (e) Ojima, I.; Kuduk, S. D.; Pera, P.; Veith, J. M.; Bernacki, R. J. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 267.
- 7. (a) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Martínez del Campo, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4501; (b) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Redondo, M. C. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2616; (c) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Aragoncillo, C.; Redondo, M. C.; Torres, M. R. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 1539; (d) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Rodríguez-Acebes, R. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2346; (e) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Alonso, J. M. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 2874.
- 8. (a) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P.; Aragoncillo, C.; Rodríguez-Acebes, R. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 5208; For a review on the chemistry of azetidine-2,3-diones, see: (b) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P. Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2001, 33, 315.
- 9. For reviews, see: (a) Gryko, D.; Chalko, J.; Jurczak, J. Chirality 2003, 15, 514; (b) Reetz, M. T. Chem. Rev. 1999, 99, 1121; (c) Reetz, M. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1991, 30, 1531; (d) Jurczak, J.; Golebiowski, A. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 149.
- 10. For the proline-catalyzed direct aldol reaction of aldehydes with a-keto esters as aldol acceptors, see: (a) Bøgevig, A.; Kumaragurubaran, N.; Jørgensen, K. A. Chem. Commun. 2002, 620; For the proline-catalyzed asymmetric aldol reaction between cyclohexanone and phenylglyoxylate, see: (b) Tokuda, O.;

Kano, T.; Gao, W.-G.; Ikemoto, T.; Maruoka, K. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5107; For the dipeptide-catalyzed asymmetric aldol condensation of acetone with N-alkylated isatins, see: (c) Luppi, G.; Cozzi, P. G.; Monari, M.; Kaptein, B.; Broxterman, Q. B.; Tomasini, C. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7418; For the organo-catalyzed asymmetric direct aldol reaction of acetone with a-keto acids, see: (d) Tang, Z.; Cun, L.-F.; Cui, X.; Mi, A.-Q.; Jiang, Y.-Z.; Gong, L.-Z. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1263.

- 11. A typical reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 was catalyzed (10 mol %) by N-benzylglycine and was conducted in acetone for a period of 2 days (conversion up to 5%).
- 12. A typical reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 was catalyzed (10 mol %) by glycine and was conducted in acetone for a period of 3 days (yield up to 45%).
- 13. A typical reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 was catalyzed (10– 15 mol %) by triethylamine or Hünig's base and was conducted in acetone at room temperature.
- 14. A typical reaction of azetidine-2,3-diones 1 was catalyzed $(10 \text{ mol } \%)$ by the system pyrrolidine/acetic acid $(1:1)$ and was conducted in acetone for a period of 24 h. In addition to the aldol product (yield up to 31%), some epimerization on the starting ketone was detected.
- 15. For a review, see: (a) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Mielgo, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5442; For selected recent references, see: (b) Marcelli, T.; van der Haas, R. N. S.; van Maarseveen, J. H.; Hiemstra, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 929; (c) Li, H.; Wang, B.; Deng, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 732; (d) Saá, J. M.; Tur, F.; González, J.; Vega, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 99; (e) Sohtome, Y.; Hashimoto, Y.; Nagasawa, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 2894; (f) Gan, C.; Lai, G.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, Z.; Zhou, M.-M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 725; (g) Maheswaran, H.; Prasanth, K. L.; Krishna, G. G.; Ravikumar, K.; Sridhar, B.; Kantam, M. L. Chem. Commun. 2006, 4066; (h) Palomo, C.; Oiarbide, M.; Laso, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3881.
- 16. For selected reviews, see: (a) Ono, N. The Nitro Group in Organic Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: New York, NY, 2001; (b) Luzzio, F. A. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 915; (c) Ballini, R.; Bosica, G.; Fiorini, D.; Palmieri, A. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8971.
- 17. Match implies that the catalyst reinforces the inherent diastereoselectivity of the substrate, and mismatch implies that the catalyst contradicts the inherent diastereoselectivity. With matched catalyst/substrate pairs, the selectivity is universally excellent. Furthermore, in every mismatched case, the catalyst is able to override and control the diastereoselectivity of the addition.
- 18. The use of triethylamine (35 mol %) as promoter instead of N-methylephedrine in the nitroaldol reaction of azetidine-2,3 diones 1 was equally effective, being obtained the corresponding Henry adducts 5 in similar yields.
- 19. For a review on the principles of double- and reagentcontrolled stereoselection in the context of the aldol reaction, see: Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Petersen, J. S.; Sita, L. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1985, 24, 1.